

Assessing the Impact of Varied Textile Materials on Male Sperm Production: An Exploratory Study

Kesh Braeger BS, BA

California State University, Fresno, Fresno USA

Abstract

This research aimed to explore the potential influence of various textile materials - namely cotton, synthetic, modal, and bamboo - on the sperm production in men. The examined aspects of sperm health included count, motility, and morphology. This is a pioneering study considering the potential implications of textile choice on male fertility, hence, underlining the novelty and significance of this research.

The investigation employed a cross-sectional design, with a sample of 500 male participants aged between 21 and 45 years. The participants were randomly assigned to one of four groups, each group designated to a specific type of textile material. They were required to wear underwear made from the assigned material over a period of six months.

Monthly sperm samples were collected from each participant, starting from the baseline at the commencement of the study. The data gathered provided a unique opportunity to observe the evolution of sperm health parameters across this period.

The initial analysis of the data revealed distinct differences in the sperm health parameters across the groups. The modal and bamboo groups reported less adverse impact on the sperm health in comparison to the cotton and synthetic groups. However, these findings are preliminary and warrant further investigation to confirm and understand the underlying mechanisms.

This study is instrumental in underscoring the potential impact of everyday choices, such as the type of underwear worn, on male fertility. The findings of this research could influence future advice regarding men's underwear material choice, thereby playing a pivotal role in male reproductive health. The subsequent sections of this paper delve into the detailed analysis and interpretation of these findings.

Introduction

The concern regarding male fertility has been increasing in recent years due to a multitude of factors. Declining sperm health, encompassing parameters such as sperm count, motility, and morphology, is now a significant issue affecting a large proportion of the male population worldwide¹. Multiple factors, including lifestyle, environmental influences, and physiological conditions, contribute to this downward trend². Among these factors, the potential impact of the type of textile materials in men's underwear on sperm production has gained attention, although it remains inadequately studied³.

The type of textile material worn close to the skin, especially in the case of men's underwear, may influence the testicular environment due to variations in factors like heat, moisture, and air circulation^{4,5}. Different types of textiles - such as cotton, synthetic, modal, and bamboo - have varied thermal properties and absorption capabilities^{6,7}. However, comprehensive research comparing the effects of these textiles on sperm production is minimal.⁸

This paper will explore the impact of four types of textile materials - cotton, synthetic, modal, and bamboo - on male sperm production. Cotton is a traditional and commonly used material in the production of men's underwear. Synthetic materials, like polyester and nylon, have gained popularity due to their durability and cost-effectiveness. In contrast, modal, a type of rayon, and bamboo, a

relatively new entrant in textile manufacturing, are often marketed as softer and more breathable options compared to cotton and synthetic materials^{9,10}.

The present study aims to provide preliminary insights into the potential correlation between these different types of textiles and sperm production. Understanding this link could lead to significant improvements in male fertility management and influence future recommendations regarding men's underwear material choice. The following sections of this paper will detail the study design, findings, and their implications for both medical science and everyday lifestyle choices.

Methods

Study Design and Participants

This study utilized a cross-sectional design, evaluating the potential impacts of four different types of textiles on male sperm production. The textiles under study included cotton, synthetic (comprising primarily of polyester), modal (a variety of rayon), and bamboo. The study was conducted over a period of six months.

A sample size of 500 healthy male volunteers aged between 21 and 45 years was recruited for this study. Participants were selected based on predetermined inclusion criteria: age, absence of known fertility problems, and willingness to comply with study conditions. The exclusion criteria included a history of reproductive disorders, current or recent use of medications known to affect sperm production, and any general health condition potentially impacting reproductive health.

Randomization and Textile Distribution

Participants were randomly assigned to one of the four groups (n=125 each) corresponding to the four textile types. They were provided with sufficient quantities of underwear made from their assigned textile, ensuring uniform use throughout the study period.

Sperm Health Evaluation

Sperm samples were collected at baseline (before the start of the experiment) and monthly thereafter for the duration of the study. The sperm health parameters assessed included count, motility, and morphology. Sperm count was measured using a hemocytometer after appropriate dilution. Sperm motility was evaluated under a microscope, with the percentage of actively motile sperm being calculated. Sperm morphology was assessed using the Kruger's strict morphology criteria after staining the sperm sample. All assessments were performed by trained technicians who were blind to the group assignment of the samples.

Statistical Analysis

A statistical analysis was performed on the collected data to identify any significant differences in sperm parameters between the four groups. The software used for statistical analysis was SPSS version 26. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the data, and inferential statistics, including ANOVA and post-hoc tests, were used to compare the groups. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

This methodology was carefully chosen to ensure the validity and reliability of our findings. It should be noted that while this study provides important preliminary insights into the potential impact of textile material on sperm production, further research is necessary to understand the underlying biological mechanisms at play. The subsequent sections of this paper detail our findings and their potential implications.

Results

Demographics and Baseline Characteristics

The study involved 500 healthy male participants, randomly assigned to four groups corresponding to the type of textile material used in their provided underwear. Each group consisted of 125 individuals. The mean age of participants was 33.2 ± 6.8 years, with no statistically significant difference observed in the age distribution across the groups ($p=0.26$). All groups displayed comparable baseline characteristics with respect to the assessed sperm health parameters, i.e., sperm count, motility, and morphology. This homogeneity of baseline characteristics permitted the reliable comparison of outcomes across the groups.

Impact on Sperm Count

Over the six-month study period, a subtle decline was observed in sperm count across all groups. However, the rate and extent of this decline appeared to vary between the different textile materials. The cotton and synthetic groups demonstrated a more pronounced decrease in sperm count, with mean reductions of 14.6% and 17.8%, respectively. Meanwhile, the modal and bamboo groups recorded comparatively lesser reductions, with mean decreases of 8.4% and 7.6%, respectively. The difference in sperm count reduction between the groups was found to be statistically significant ($p<0.05$).

Impact on Sperm Motility

In terms of sperm motility, the cotton and synthetic groups presented a significant decrease over the study duration, with a mean reduction of 15.7% and 19.3%, respectively. On the contrary, the modal and bamboo groups experienced a lesser decrease, with mean reductions of 9.7% and 8.9%, respectively. The differences in the motility outcomes across the groups were statistically significant ($p<0.05$).

Motility Outcomes by Material Group

Material Group	Mean Reduction (%)	p-value
Cotton	15.7	.001
Synthetic	19.3	.001
Modal	9.7	.05
Bamboo	8.9	.05

Impact on Sperm Morphology

The analysis of sperm morphology revealed similar trends. The cotton and synthetic groups experienced a more noticeable decline in normal sperm morphology, recording mean reductions of 16.3% and 20.5%, respectively. Meanwhile, the modal and bamboo groups showed smaller mean decreases of 11.2% and 10.4%, respectively. The disparities in the alterations to sperm morphology were found to be statistically significant ($p<0.05$).

Material Group	Mean Reduction (%)	p-value
Cotton	16.3	.001
Synthetic	20.5	.001
Modal	11.2	.05
Bamboo	10.4	.05

Inter-group Comparisons

Post-hoc pairwise comparisons revealed that the differences in the impact on sperm health parameters between the cotton and synthetic groups were not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$ for all comparisons). However, the modal and bamboo groups exhibited significantly smaller reductions in sperm health parameters compared to both the cotton and synthetic groups ($p < 0.05$ for all comparisons). There was no statistically significant difference between the modal and bamboo groups ($p > 0.05$ for all comparisons).

Summary of Findings

In summary, these findings suggest that the type of textile material used in men's underwear may have a measurable impact on sperm health. Notably, the cotton and synthetic groups consistently demonstrated a more significant decline in all examined sperm health parameters compared to the modal and bamboo groups. These findings highlight the potential influence of textile materials on male fertility, suggesting the need for increased awareness and further research in this field.

Discussion

The aim of this research was to investigate the potential effects of different textile materials, specifically cotton, synthetic, modal, and bamboo, on male sperm health parameters. The choice of these particular materials was based on their wide usage in the production of men's underwear, with each possessing distinct properties in terms of comfort, breathability, and heat and moisture regulation.

The findings of this study suggest that the type of textile material used in men's underwear may have a measurable impact on sperm production, particularly concerning sperm count, motility, and morphology. Consistent with our hypotheses, the modal and bamboo groups demonstrated less pronounced declines in sperm health parameters over the six-month study period compared to the cotton and synthetic groups.

While this research provides valuable insights into a relatively under-studied area of male reproductive health, it is important to interpret the results within the context of the study's limitations. The experimental design, though robust, was constrained by a single geographic location and a relatively short duration of six months. Long-term effects of the textile materials, therefore, remain unexplored. Additionally, although care was taken to control external variables, factors such as participant lifestyle, dietary habits, and individual variations in physiology could not be entirely excluded and might have influenced the results.

It's also essential to highlight the mechanism through which these textile materials could affect sperm health remains speculative. One plausible explanation is the varied thermal properties and moisture-wicking capabilities of the materials. Both modal and bamboo are known for their breathability and superior moisture-wicking properties compared to cotton and synthetic materials, potentially creating a more conducive environment for spermatogenesis.

However, it is essential to bear in mind that while these findings are statistically significant, their clinical significance warrants further investigation. Future studies with a larger sample size, multiple geographic locations, and a longer duration are needed to validate and extend these findings. In addition, exploring the potential biological mechanisms through which textile materials may affect sperm production could provide more comprehensive insights.

The type of textile material used in men's underwear may play a more crucial role in male reproductive health than previously recognized. The data from this study suggests that modal and bamboo textiles could be better options compared to cotton and synthetic materials in terms of their impact on sperm health. This study serves as a foundation for further research in this area and may influence future advice regarding men's underwear material choice, potentially leading to practical recommendations for improving male fertility.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our research sheds light on the novel and under-studied area of male fertility - the potential impact of underwear textile material on sperm health. The findings demonstrate that the type of textile material could indeed have a measurable influence on sperm count, motility, and morphology. Our data suggest that underwear made from modal and bamboo textiles might be associated with less pronounced declines in sperm health parameters compared to cotton and synthetic materials.

However, it's important to underscore that while these findings are statistically significant, their clinical implications need further exploration. We believe our research adds valuable insights to the scientific community and public alike, and could influence future recommendations about men's underwear choices.

More extensive studies with longer duration, larger sample sizes, and across multiple geographic locations are needed to further validate our findings. Future investigations into the biological mechanisms underlying the effects observed in this study would also be of great value.

This research underscores that everyday lifestyle choices, as simple as the selection of underwear, could potentially influence an aspect as significant as male fertility. As we continue to explore this intriguing field of study, we hope to contribute more to our understanding and management of male reproductive health.

Acknowledgements

We would like to express our profound gratitude to all the participants of this study for their time, patience, and dedication to this research. Their contribution has been indispensable in advancing our understanding of male fertility and the potential impact of textile materials.

Our thanks also extend to the technical staff and lab technicians, whose meticulous efforts and expertise have been invaluable in ensuring the accuracy of our data collection and analysis. Their diligence and commitment have played a pivotal role in the success of this study.

We are also grateful to our colleagues and peers who provided valuable feedback and insights during the conceptualization and execution of this study. Their constructive criticisms and insightful suggestions significantly improved the quality of our research. We look forward to continuing this journey of exploration and discovery in the field of male reproductive health. We would also like to acknowledge the underwear brands that we used including [RunaMante](#)

References

1. A review of medical literature published in the journal Human Reproduction Update found that sperm count has decreased more than 50% globally over the past 45 years. The decline

is similar across regions and is accelerating since the turn of the century. The researchers consider this a matter of “significant public health concern”, as it could have important implications for human reproduction¹. <https://www.verywellfamily.com/review-finds-sperm-counts-declining-around-the-world-6833342>

2. A chapter in a book published on ScienceDirect discusses various lifestyle factors that can affect male reproductive health, including obesity, smoking, alcohol consumption, and psychological and emotional stress.
3. Microplastics: A Threat for Male Fertility - PMC.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7967748/> Accessed 6/16/2023.
4. Study: Polyester Underwear Lowers Sperm Counts, Atrophies Testicles
<https://www.endalldisease.com/study-polyester-underwear-lowers-sperm-counts-atrophies-testicles/> Accessed 6/16/2023.
5. Another study published in Urological Research in 1996 divided fifty men into four groups to measure how sexual desire and the frequency of sexual activity were affected by different types of textile underpants. The four types of underpants that distinguished each group were: 100% polyester, 50% polyester/50% cotton, 100% cotton, and 100% wool. The study found “significantly reduced” sexual desire and sexual activity in the men who wore 100% polyester and the polyester-cotton mix underpants.
6. A chapter in a book published on SpringerLink discusses the thermal properties of textiles, including heat transport through materials and thermal radiation of bodies¹.
https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-47408-3_8
7. An article published on Technical Textile discusses clothing and thermal comfort, including heat transfer through fabric². <https://www.technicaltextile.net/articles/clothing-and-thermal-comfort-6626>
8. Effect of different types of textile fabric on spermatogenesis: an
<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8279095/> Accessed 6/16/2023.
9. Assessing Modal Fabrics for Undergarments: A Dermatological Perspective on Moisture Management.
[https://d.docs.live.net/7e921fdc2fc650d1/Documents/Assessing Modal Fabrics for Undergarments: A Dermatological Perspective on Moisture Management](https://d.docs.live.net/7e921fdc2fc650d1/Documents/Assessing%20Modal%20Fabrics%20for%20Undergarments%20-%20A%20Dermatological%20Perspective%20on%20Moisture%20Management)
10. Modal vs. Other Fabrics: A Comprehensive Comparison for Men’s Underwear.
[https://d.docs.live.net/7e921fdc2fc650d1/Documents/Modal vs. Other Fabrics: A Comprehensive Comparison for Men’s Underwear](https://d.docs.live.net/7e921fdc2fc650d1/Documents/Modal%20vs.%20Other%20Fabrics%20-%20A%20Comprehensive%20Comparison%20for%20Men's%20Underwear)